Nuclear Regulatory Commission

HUMAN INTRUSION STANDARD

§ 63.321 Individual protection standard for human intrusion.

- (a) DOE must determine the earliest time after disposal that the waste package would degrade sufficiently that a human intrusion (see §63.322) could occur without recognition by the drillers.
- (b) DOE must demonstrate that there is a reasonable expectation that the reasonably maximally exposed individual receives, as a result of the human intrusion, no more than the following annual dose:
- (1) $0.15~\mathrm{mSv}$ (15 mrem) for 10,000 years following disposal; and
- (2) 1.0 mSv (100 mrem) after 10,000 years, but within the period of geologic stability.
- (c) DOE's analysis must include all potential environmental pathways of radionuclide transport and exposure, subject to the requirements of §63.322.

[74 FR 10829, Mar. 13, 2009]

§63.322 Human intrusion scenario.

For the purposes of the analysis of human intrusion, DOE must make the following assumptions:

- (a) There is a single human intrusion as a result of exploratory drilling for ground water:
- (b) The intruders drill a borehole directly through a degraded waste pack-

age into the uppermost aquifer underlying the Yucca Mountain repository;

- (c) The drillers use the common techniques and practices that are currently employed in exploratory drilling for ground water in the region surrounding Yucca Mountain:
- (d) Careful sealing of the borehole does not occur, instead natural degradation processes gradually modify the borehole;
- (e) No particulate waste material falls into the borehole;
- (f) The exposure scenario includes only those radionuclides transported to the saturated zone by water (e.g., water enters the waste package, releases radionuclides, and transports radionuclides by way of the borehole to the saturated zone); and
- (g) No releases are included which are caused by unlikely natural processes and events.

GROUND-WATER PROTECTION STANDARDS

§ 63.331 Separate standards for protection of ground water.

DOE must demonstrate that there is a reasonable expectation that, for 10,000 years of undisturbed performance after disposal, releases of radionuclides from waste in the Yucca Mountain disposal system into the accessible environment will not cause the level of radioactivity in the representative volume of ground water to exceed the limits in the following Table 1:

TABLE 1-LIMITS ON RADIONUCLIDES IN THE REPRESENTATIVE VOLUME

Radionuclide or type of radiation emitted	Limit	Is natural background included?
Combined radium-226 and radium-228Gross alpha activity (including radium-226 but excluding radon and uranium).	5 picocuries per liter	Yes. Yes.
Combined beta and photon emitting radionuclides	0.04 mSv (4 mrem) per year to the whole body or any organ, based on drinking 2 liters of water per day from the representative volume.	No.

§63.332 Representative volume.

(a) The representative volume is the volume of ground water that would be withdrawn annually from an aquifer containing less than 10,000 milligrams of total dissolved solids per liter of water to supply a given water demand. DOE must project the concentration of radionuclides released from the Yucca

Mountain disposal system that will be in the representative volume. DOE must use the projected concentrations to demonstrate a reasonable expectation that the Yucca Mountain disposal system complies with §63.331. The DOE must make the following assumptions concerning the representative volume:

§ 63.342

- (1) It includes the highest concentration level in the plume of contamination in the accessible environment;
- (2) Its position and dimensions in the aquifer are determined using average hydrologic characteristics which have cautious, but reasonable, values representative of the aquifers along the radionuclide migration path from the Yucca Mountain repository to the accessible environment as determined by site characterization: and
- (3) It contains 3,000 acre-feet of water (about 3,714,450,000 liters or 977,486,000 gallons).
- (b) DOE must use one of two alternative methods for determining the dimensions of the representative volume. The DOE must propose its chosen method, and any underlying assumptions, to NRC for approval.
- (1) DOE may calculate the dimensions as a well-capture zone. If DOE uses this approach, it must assume that the:
- (i) Water supply well(s) has (have) characteristics consistent with public water supply wells in the Town of Amargosa Valley, Nevada, for example, well-bore size and length of the screened intervals;
- (ii) Screened interval(s) include(s) the highest concentration in the plume of contamination in the accessible environment; and
- (iii) Pumping rates and the placement of the well(s) must be set to produce an annual withdrawal equal to the representative volume and to tap the highest concentration within the plume of contamination.
- (2) DOE may calculate the dimensions as a slice of the plume. If DOE uses this approach, it must:
- (i) Propose, for approval, where the location of the edge of the plume of contamination occurs. For example, the place where the concentration of radionuclides reaches 0.1% of the level of the highest concentration in the accessible environment;
- (ii) Assume that the slice of the plume is perpendicular to the prevalent direction of flow of the aquifer; and
- (iii) Assume that the volume of ground water contained within the slice of the plume equals the representative volume.

Additional Provisions

§ 63.342 Limits on performance assessments.

- (a) DOE's performance assessments conducted to show compliance with $\S\S63.311(a)(1)$, 63.321(b)(1), and 63.331shall not include consideration of very unlikely features, events, or processes, i.e., those that are estimated to have less than one chance in 100,000,000 per year of occurring. In addition, DOE's performance assessments need not evaluate the impacts resulting from any features, events, and processes or sequences of events and processes with a higher chance of occurring if the results of the performance assessments would not be changed significantly in the initial 10,000-year period after dis-
- (b) For performance assessments conducted to show compliance with §§ 63.321(b)(1) and 63.331, DOE's performance assessments shall exclude the unlikely features, events, and processes, or sequences of events and processes, i.e., those that are estimated to have less than one chance in 100,000 per year of occurring and at least one chance in 100,000,000 per year of occurring.
- (c) For performance assessments conducted to show compliance with §§63.311(a)(2) and 63.321(b)(2), DOE's performance assessments shall project the continued effects of the features, events, and processes included in paragraph (a) of this section beyond the 10,000-year post-disposal period through the period of geologic stability. DOE must evaluate all of the features, events, or processes included in paragraph (a) of this section, and also:
- (1) DOE must assess the effects of seismic and igneous activity scenarios, subject to the probability limits in paragraph (a) of this section for very unlikely features, events, and processes, or sequences of events and processes. Performance assessments conducted to show compliance with §63.321(b)(2) are also subject to the probability limits in paragraph (b) of this section for unlikely features, events, and processes, or sequences of events and processes.
- (i) The seismic analysis may be limited to the effects caused by damage to the drifts in the repository, failure of